

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/530,884	08/29/2000	Robert N. McBurney	04585/048002	5126	
<b>7</b> :	590 08/27/2	102			
Kristina Bieker Brady			EXAMINER		
Clark & Elbing 176 Federal Str	g reet	•	BRANNOCK,	MICHAEL T	
Boston, MA 0	)2110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1646		
			DATE MAILED: 08/27/2002	DATE MAILED: 08/27/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/530,884** 

Applicant(s)

McBurney et al.

Examiner

Michael Brannock, Ph.D

Art Unit 1646



	- 1
Period for Reply  MONTH(S) FROM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	
mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
Status	Ì
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jun 10, 2002</u>	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	:
Disposition of Claims	
4) X Claim(s) 1-6, 8, 10, 11, 22, 23, 27, 35, and 36 is/are pending in the applic	3
4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consident	∍re
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) X Claims <u>1-6, 8, 10, 11, 22, 23, 27, 35, and 36</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requ	rem
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examir	er.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:	
1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
2.  ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ol>	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09530884 Page 2

Art Unit: 1646

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Claims 1-6, 8, 10, 11, 22, 23, 27, 35, 36 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising methods of treating a neurological disorder and/or other ischemic disorder, e.g. stroke, epilepsy, Parkinson's disease, etc., such disorders being known in the art to result from disparate causes and to display disparate symptoms. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species consisting of a single identifiable patient population, even though this requirement is traversed.

2. Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

Application/Control Number: 09530884 Page 3

Art Unit: 1646

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 3. All claims appear to be generic to at least more than one distinct species.
- 4. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each species comprising methods of treating a distinct disorder effecting a single identifiable patient population, and are distinct from each other because these disorders are known in the art to result from disparate causes and to display disparate symptoms.
- 5. Additionally, this application contains claims directed to more than one patenably distinct species of administration for the treatment of a single disorder. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows: claims 1-6, 8, 10, 11, 22, 23, 27, 35, 36 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising methods of administering a particular neuregulin or neureglin fragment, e.g. GGF, ARIA, NDF or members of the hergulin family. The claims encompass an apparently limitless and indecipherable number of structurally distinct and functionally divergent molecules - the use of one apparently not required for the use

Application/Control Number: 09530884 Page 4

Art Unit: 1646

of the other. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species of molecule to be administered in the elected method of treatment, even though this requirement is traversed.

6. Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 7. All claims appear to be generic to at least more than one distinct species.
- 8. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each species appears to be structurally distinct and functionally divergent from each of the other molecules the use of one apparently not required for the use of the other.

Application/Control Number: 09530884

Page 5

9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

Art Unit: 1646

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the 10.

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Michael Brannock, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 306-5876. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Thursdays from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

The examiner can also normally be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, Ph.D., can be reached at (703) 308-6564.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4242. Faxed draft or informal

communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

MB

August 24, 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600